	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/582,871	DEBREGEAS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Susan T. Tran	1615
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Susan T. Tran</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Martin Cosenza</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>17 February 2004</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		·
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 2, 4-7, 17, 18, 21, 22 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
·		
		•
JAMES M. SPEAR		
PRIMARY EXAMINER		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) Au (6/5 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: to place the application in condition for allowance, it was suggested to 1) insert the word "parallel" right before the word "section" in claims 2 and 4-7; 2) cancel product claims 17, 18, 21 and 22 (as was suggested by T.K. Page during the interview dated 07/08/03). No agreement was reached regarding to the cancellation of the product claims..

James M. Spear JAMES M. SPEAR PRIMARY EXAMINER